Field Findings Report Plum Borough School District Plum High School Softball Field Project

Date:	September 2, 2011 (Date of Field Investigation)
Project:	Proposed Softball Field at Plum High School Project Preliminary Wetland and Surface Water Field Reconnaissance Investigation
Field Staff:	Tammy Sherwin and Kelly Eismont

FIELD FINDINGS

L.R. Kimball staff conducted a field investigation for the Plum Borough School District proposed Plum High School Softball Field Project on September 2, 2011. The purpose of the site investigation was to identify the presence/absence of palustrine wetlands and other regulated surface water features (ephemeral, intermittent and perennial streams) in accordance with the USACOE Wetland Delineation Manual (1987), Routine On-Site Determination Methodology and the Interim Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region (USACOE, July 2010). An attached map (Photograph Location Map) depicts the area of investigation and location of site photographs. The area covered by the wetland/surface water assessment encompasses the area outlined on the included mapping, which is located adjacent to the existing on-site softball field.

The project area is located in Plum Borough, along Elicker Road, within the grounds of Plum High School, in Allegheny County, PA. Land uses surrounding the area of investigation consist primarily of school associated driveways, parking, and athletic fields, with an area of sloped forest land to the south and southeast of the site. The land use features exhibited within the property are predominantly comprised of open field vegetated with common herbaceous species. The project area appeared to have been graded at some point in the past, with silt fencing still visible along the sloped hillside to the south and southeast. What appeared to be fill/gravel materials were encountered throughout the site when attempting to obtain soil samples.

Site Soils:

As noted, the soils throughout the site contained fill/gravel materials that were assumed to be from previous grading and fill of the site. Three soil pits were dug on site in areas that appeared most likely to contain evidence of hydric soils and an adjacent area (for comparison). The soils in these areas were noted as having matrix colors of 10YR 3/3 to 10YR 4/4 and generally consisted of a silt loam or silty clay loam with rock fragments noted throughout. Refusal due to a rock layer was met at 6 to 10 inches at all three sites. One of the three soil pits was observed to fill with water, but did not exhibit hydric characteristics.

Site Hydrology:

There are no stream channels located within the project site. Drainage appears to have been affected by previous grading and fill, but travels in a general northwest to southeast direction across the site. A few areas were noted to contain standing water, but the soils in these areas did not indicate a length of inundation or saturation sufficient enough to develop hydric soils. It should also be noted that a significant rainfall event occurred the day previous to the site visit.

Site Vegetation:

The project site consists of a field with herbaceous vegetation present. A listing of the dominant vegetation is noted below:

Lanced-leaved goldenrod (*Solidago graminifolia*), Crown vetch, Canada thistle (*Cirsium arvense*), butter and eggs (*Linaria vulgaris*), Misc. grasses, Aster species (most likely small white aster – *Aster vimineus*), late goldenrod (*Solidago gigantea*)

Also noted in a few small pockets were the following species that are typically associated with wet conditions. It should be noted the soils in these areas did not meet hydric criteria: New York ironweed (*Vernonia noveboracensis*), dark green bulrush (*Scirpus geogrianus*), soft rush (*Juncus effusus*), Yellow sedge (*Carex flava*).

WATERWAYS

There were no waterways identified within the project area.

WETLANDS

There were no wetlands identified within the project area.

Low areas within the project area, including several tire ruts, were found to contain standing water of approximately 1/2 inch at the time of the site visit. This was attributed to significant rainfall the previous day, and the compacted fill material which impedes drainage in these areas. Soil samples were obtained in these areas, and while at least one pit was observed to fill with water, the soils themselves were noted as having colors of 10YR 4/4 to 10YR 3/3, with no evidence of sustained hydrology (i.e. reduced matrix and/or reduction/oxidation mottles were not present). It was determined that project area does not contain hydric soils, and does not meet wetland criteria.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE ACTIONS

There were no wetlands or waterways identified within the project study area in accordance with the USACOE Wetland Delineation Manual (1987), Routine On-Site Determination Methodology and the Interim Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region (USACOE, July 2010).

Proposed earth disturbances which exceed the defined study area limits should be investigated for the presence of wetlands and surface waters.

The information collected during the field investigations (i.e. photographs, field notes, mapping) have been retained within the project files at the following path: K:\EBG_PROJ\10-0778\TEAM\TR-ENV\Wetland Assessment.

Attachments: Photograph Location Map Photograph Log

cc: Steve Ackerman, Tammy Sherwin, File (LRK)



ations shown. as are shown. mark elevations trop joints from Islutubed by located behind ess than three ess than three	E	A CDI Comp A CDI Comp A CDI Comp Frick Building - North Mezza 437 Grant St. Suite 812 Pittsburgh, PA 15219 phone (412) 201-238 email architecture@inkinhail.com web sile www.inkinhail.com PROJECT NAME: Plum Borough School Distr NEW PLUM SOFTBALL FIELD OWNER: Plum Borough School Distr 900 Elicker Road Plum, PA 15239	ict
	с	CONSULTANT	
SHEET C150 STURBANCE	в	KEYPLAN	CUMENTS
		grading plan	BID DO

Photograph Location Map

for Preliminary Environmental Investigations

> Conducted September 2, 2011

Legend





Photograph 1: Looking southeast at the project area along the fence line of the existing ball field.



Photograph 2: Looking east at the project area.



Photograph 3: Looking northeast at the project area.



Photograph 4: Looking northwest at the project area.



Photograph 5: Looking south at the project area.